



OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT SERVICES
315 WEST MAIN STREET, SUITE 441
PO BOX 7800
TAVARES FL 32778-7800

PHONE: (352) 343-9839
FAX: 352) 343-9473

ADDENDUM NO. ONE

Date: September 5, 2013

ITB No. 14-0601

Fire Apparatus

It is the vendor's responsibility to ensure their receipt of all addenda, and to clearly acknowledge all addenda within their initial bid response. Acknowledgement may be confirmed either by inclusion of a signed copy of this addendum with the initial bid response, or by completion and return of the addendum acknowledgement section of the solicitation. Failure to acknowledge each addendum may prevent the bid from being considered for award.

This addendum does not change the date for receipt of bids.

The purpose of this addendum is to respond to questions received to date.

Questions and Answers:

Question 1: After a thorough review of your published specifications we have found that there is a contradiction between your aluminum body construction requirements listed on Page 20, paragraphs 4 and 5, and the construction requirements listed on Page 36 (Par. 13), Page 38 (Par. 1 under Tailboard, Page 44 (Par. 5 under Pull-Out Tray), and Page 55 (Par. 1 under Pump Compartment).

Answer 1: These components are attached directly to the frame of the vehicle, which is a steel frame. The amount of electrolysis is minimal on stepping surfaces. If aluminum braces were to be used on these components we would have dissimilar metals on the frames of the truck. The aluminum body has an aluminum sub frame however; there is rubber type mounting cushion between the body sub frame and the vehicle steel frame. So the dissimilar mettles don't make contact.

Question 1a: On Page 20 Par. 4 reads: "An all aluminum body per specifications is required. The body shall be extruded aluminum construction design. Formed plate construction is not acceptable."

Contradiction: The specifications listed on Pages 37, and 39 reflect formed plate construction with not one word mentioned in the specs about extruded construction. This contradicts your contract requirements as listed on Page 19 and construction requirements on Page 20.

Answer 1a: These are fine as well. Page 37 refers to hose bed components not attached to the body of the truck and the formed plate is an assumption being made by the manufacturer and is a generalization of the compartmentation construction.

Question 1b: On Page 20 Par. 5 reads: “Aluminum bodies mounted on steel sub-frames are not acceptable. To eliminate mixture of dissimilar metals, only aluminum sub-frames shall be considered.”

Contradiction: The specifications’ construction requirements as listed above (Pages 36, 38, 44, and 55) all require steel sub-frames or supports. Obviously this contradicts your contract requirements as listed on Page 19 and construction requirement on Page 20.

Our company builds the heaviest duty extruded aluminum body in the industry (meeting your requirements on Page 20). We also build a heavy duty modular body meeting and exceeding the construction requirements listed on Pages 36, 38, 44, and 55. We need to know which of these construction requirements is the bidder to be held to and by which all bids will be evaluated. Do the construction requirements on Page 20 take authority over those listed on the other indicated pages?

Answer 1b: They are both correct. Again, the sub-frame for the items mention above is preventing electrolysis at the frame.

Acknowledgement of Receipt of this Addendum:

Firm Name: _____ Date: _____

Signature: _____ Title: _____

Typed/Printed Name: _____